IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN

MONDAY, THE 15TH JANUARY 2007 / 25TH PAUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 186 of 2007(V)

PETITIONER:

M.APPUKUTTAN PILLAI,
S/O.MADHAVAN PILLAI, SRI. HARI, MEENADU,
NEDUMGOLAM, PARAVOOR, KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.G.PRABHAKARAN

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
PATHANAMTHITTA, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.

2. THE SECRETARY, REGIONAL TRANSPORT
AUTHORITY, PATHANAMTHITTA.

BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI.M.A.FAYAZ

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 15/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R.RAMAN, J.

W.P.(C) NO. 186 OF 2007

Dated this the 15th day of January, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The grievance of the petitioner is that inspite of the fact that his revision was allowed by the STAT as per Exhibit P1 as early as on 26th October 2004, so far concurrence as sought for has not been granted . It appears that by Exhibit P2 the matter was considered in 2006. Even thereafter the matter was adjourned. There is no justification for the inordinate delay in giving effect to the revisional order, Exhibit P1.

2. In the facts and circumstances, there will be a direction to the first respondent to implement the decision contained in Exhibit P1 as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within a period of three months.

Produce a copy of this judgment before the 1st respondent for information and compliance.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

P.R.RAMAN, JUDGE

Rp